UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

V. 511 VO. MOS.	
Write the full name of each plaintiff. —CV (Include case number if one has be assigned)	en
-against- ZESF COMPLAINT NEW York City, Sqt. Blaces	
Bareburgers, Pinkberry's, 10. A. Shane Do you want a jury trial? Do you want a jury trial? Pres Pro	
Butland, Legal Aid Soceity, Lamont Miller, 18b Panel, Adam Freedman, Eric Christensen, The State of NEW Y Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more	lork.
Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and	
attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II.	

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

Rev. 1/9/17

I. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case?
Federal Question
☐ Diversity of Citizenship
A. If you checked Federal Question
Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated? IV amendment, Vamendment VI amendment, XIV amendment, X
B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship 1. Citizenship of the parties
Of what State is each party a citizen? The plaintiff, 3rett Johnson, is a citizen of the State of (Plaintiff's name)
(State in which the person resides and intends to remain.) or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of
If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing information for each additional plaintiff.

If the defendant is an individual:	
The defendant, Eric Christer (Defendant's name)	, is a citizen of the State of
NEW York	
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanen subject of the foreign state of	t residence in the United States, a citizen or
If the defendant is a corporation:	·
The defendant, Bareburgers, P	In Korresis incorporated under the laws of
the State of NEW York	
and has its principal place of business in t	the State of WEW York
or is incorporated under the laws of (forei	
and has its principal place of business in	·
If more than one defendant is named in the conformation for each additional defendant.	
II. PARTIES	
A. Plaintiff Information	
	laintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional
pages if needed.	
First Name Middle Initial	Last Name
	A Last Name
116-51 157th St. F	1P+#7F
Street Address	Cold K 111134
QUEENS 1	State Zip Code
917-419-1033	Brett Johnson 1011@gmail.com
Telephone Number	Email Address (if available)

B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant 1:	NEW York C	City		
	First Name LEtitia	James Attons	ey General's Office	
	Current Job Title (or Li DEC	other identifying information		
	Current Work Addre	ss (or other address where de	1	
	County, City	State	Zip Code	
Defendant 2:	ZEGS	Blacer	Shield # 58617	
	First Name	Last Name	=	
	Sat. 17 Pre	cent		
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)			
	1 Police Pla	iza Hath NEW	York, NY 10038	
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)			
	NEW York	<i>N</i> . Y.	10038	
	County, City	State	Zip Code	
Defendant 3:	Bareburgers	restaurant Chas	5n	
	First Name	Last Name		
		other identifying information)	
	366 W 46+	h st NEW York,	NY 10036	
	Current Work Addre	ss (or other address where de	efendant may be served)	
	NEW York	(1/4	10036	
	County, City	State	Zip Code	

Defendant 4: Pinkberry's	
Size Sollowing sheets First Name Last Name	_
With other Desendants. Current lob Title (or other identifying information)	
and the feet and t	
7 W 32 nd St. NEW York, NY 10001	
Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)	
County, City State Zip Code	
Country, City State 21p Code	
III. STATEMENT OF CLAIM	
Place(s) of occurrence: 2nd AVE. 100 Centre St. Greenhaven C.7	
Date(s) of occurrence: November 9th 2016, November, 2022	
FACTS:	
State here briefly the FACTS that support your case. Describe what happened, how you were harmed, and what each defendant personally did or failed to do that harmed you. Attach additional pages if needed.	
SEE Following sheets.	
These acts occured under indictment	••
# 4721/2016	
	_
	_
	
	—
	_

Last Known address 1 Hogan Pl. New York, N.Y. 10013 Defendant 6. Legal Aid Society 199 Water Street New York, N.Y. 10088 Defendant 7. Lamont Miller esq. last Known address 199 water street New York, N.Y. 10038 Defendant 8. 186 Panel 253 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10007 Defendant 9. Adam Freedman esq. 186 Panel Office 253 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10007 Defendant 10. Eric Chietensen Last Known address 366 W46 st New York, N.Y. 10036 Defendant 11. New York State Attonyy Generals Office The Codital, Albany, N.Y. 12224	() () () () () () () () () ()	Defendant 5. A.D.A. Shane Butland
199 Water Street New York, N.Y. 10088 Defendant 7. Lamont Miller esq. Last Known address 199 Water Street New York, NY 10038 Defendant 8. 186 Panel 253 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10007 Defendant 9. Adam Freedman esq. 186 Panel Office 253 Broadway New York, NY 10007 Defendant 10. Eric Chistensen Last Known address 366 W46 St New York, N.Y. 10036 Defendant 11. New York State 4Honey Generals Office		fr
Defendant 7. Lamont Miller esq. iast Known address 199 Water Street New York, NY 10038 Defendant 8. 186 Panel 253 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10007 Defendant 9. Adam Freedman esq. 186 Panel Office 253 Broadway New York, NY 10007 Defendant 10. Eric Chistensen Last Known address 366 W46 st New York, N.Y. 10036 Defendant 11. NEW York State 4Hoosy Generals Office		Defendant 6. Legal Aid Socelty
I last Known address 199 Water Street New York, NY 10038 De Sendant 8. 186 Panel 253 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10007 Defendant 9. Adam Freedman esq. 186 Panel Office 253 Broadway New York, NY 10007 De Sendant 10. Eric Chistensen Last Known address 366 W46 St New York, N.Y. 10036 Defendant 11. NEW York State 4 Honsy Generals Office		199 Water Street NEW York, N.Y. 10038
Defendant 8. 186 Panel 253 Broadway New York, N.Y. 10007 Defendant 9. Adam Freedman esq. 186 Panel Office 253 Broadway New York, NY 10007 Defendant 10. Eric Chistensen Last Known address 366 W46 st New York, N. Y. 10036 Defendant 11. New York State Attansa Generals Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DESEndant 7. Lamont Miller esq.
Defendant 9. Adam Freedman esq. 186 Panel Office 253 Broadway NEW York, NY 10007 Defendant 10. Eric Chistensen Last Known address 366 W46 st New York, N. Y. 10036 Defendant 11. NEW York State Attorney Generals Office		10038
186 Panel Office 253 Broadway New York, NY 10007 Desendant 10. Eric Chistensen Last Known address 366 W46 st New York, N.Y. 10036 Desendant 11. NEW York State Attorny Generals Office	1	
Last Known address 366 W46 st New York, N.Y. 10036 Desendant 11. NEW York State Attorn Generals office		186 Parist Office
DESENDANT 11. NEW York State Attorney Generals OSSice		DeSendant 10. Eric Chistensen
The Carital, Albany, N.Y. 12224		Defendant 11. NEW York State
		The Carital, Albany, N.Y. 12224
	:	

FACTS

The City of New York did Knowingly and Willingly Violate this Plaintiff's Constitutional right to be Secure in his own Person. The Cause of these actions were Performed by a one Sqt. Zeff Blacer by him Walking up on the Plaintiff and accesting him and detaining him without warrant or reason.

Because of Sqt. Blacers actions the Plaintiff was forced to unsustly stend over six years in Prison being stripped and mauled.

For this the Plaintiff find that New York City Should be liable for 20,000,000, twenty million dollars in damages and sqt. Zeff Blacer Should be found liable in the amount of 2,000,000 two Million dollars in damages.

Second, the Bareburger's Establishment
Shall also be Sound liable for one of its managers
behavior in violating this Plaintiff's Civil rights the
Manager, a one Mr. Eric Christensen had three or
More Employee's From the Store following the
Plaintiff down the Street in the form of a mob
Justice When there was nt Even a Crime Committed
against three Store.

Turthermore, While walking down the street with a

Furthermore, While walking down the street with a Semi mob behind him Eric Christensen Calls the Police on the Plaintiff for no reason and when the Police Come he Mr. Christensen States to them that he thinks that the Plaintiff gave a link berry's Store a Counterfeit bill that he never witnessed yet Sqt. Zeff Blacer Sust Walked up on the Plaintiff and...

P. 2.

Sust handculled the Plaintiff Without a Warrant
Or reason.
Because of mr. Christensens behavior it Created
a ripple Effect of industice; and after the Sact
that they realized that they had a Problem With
their Story, they meaning Shane Butland, Eric
Christensen and Sqt. Zell Blacer, So now after
realizing that there Story made no Since they
Came up with the Story of the bill found the
next day. No one Knows from wince this bill Came
it was uncorroberated Evidence as WELL
as illegal Evidence that the D.A.'s ddn't Seem to
Mind using. Not to mention the Police department
allowed mr. Christensen to Keep this akaged bill
in his Possession for approximately six days before
bringing it to a grand Sury him SEIS
For this Sandulent behavior Personned by the
Bareburgers Manager Eric Christensen, Bareburgers
Should be Sound liable in the amount of 15,000,000
and Mr. Christensen Should be Sound liable for at
Izase 2,000,000, for Plaintiff's Pain and Suffering
 having to spend over Six years in Prison being
Stripped and mouled.

P. 3.

Third,
NEW York City, Via, A.D.A. Shane Butland
along with Supeme Court Justices Jill Konvisor
and Justice Abraham Clott along with attorney's
Legal Aid Lamont Miller and 186 Panel Adam
Freedman did Knowingly and Willingly obstruct
Sustice in Plaintiss's Case.
These acts were Performed by these individuals
by ignoring the Law and turning a blind to the
Plaintiff's State Constitutional right and turning a
blind EXE to the Plaintiff's Constitutional sights.
These things were done at the outset by
Lamont Miller OS- the Legal Aid Society Waiving
the Plaintiss's right to go to the grand Sury after
he filed notice to the court only to recend on the
day of the grand Sury after he was Probably
informed by Shane Butland that they were Putting
all Frandulent Evadence before the grand Jury, so
Shane Butland asked Mr. Miller to KEEP the Plaintiff
out of the grand Jury.
Furthermore, after informing Sustice Jill
Many soc that my rights to go to the array Sury Were
Violated She that my lawyer had that right to do
30, SO I asked for and inspection of the grand
Jury minutes because I'm not accested for nothing
Violated She that my lawyer had that right to do so, SO I asked Sor and inspection of the grand Jury minutes because I'm not accested for nothing in the minutes, and Say's there fine.

P. U.

Moreover, the Plaintiff had Sustice Jill Konvisor
remove Lamont Miller removed as Coursel and She
appointed 186 Adam Freedman. Who Climbed on
board immeadiately becoming Complicit with the
Sheeme of things to send me to Prison for nothing.
186 Counsel never Challenged any of aberrations
in the Case Even though the Plaintiff Was Clearly
Pointing them out to him; the 186 Panel did nothing
in the Course of his legal obligations to the
bar to desend his Client. Adam Freedman has
Performed below Standard and has Shown himself to
also be complicit in the Showing that he was to
Obstuct Sustice in the Plaintiff's Case.
Furthermore, the trial Sudge Abraham Clott
has Proven to be Complicit with obstacting Sustice
in the Plaintiss's Case because the Plaintiss made him
aware of all of the events of the case yet he
Still Sentenced the Plaintiff for things the Plaintiff
Was asuse Charged Fac.
The plaint RR was corrected for aledosdu
giving a Pinkberry's a Counter Seit bill, however,
giving a Pinkberry's a CounterSeit bill, however, Pinkberry never Came to the grand Sury, but Shane
Butland brought bareburgers to the grand sury
yet the Plaintiss was never Sormally Charge for
the alsoged bill that was aledged to have been
found the 18xt day by Dareburgers manager
Ecic Christensen, not to mention that this bill
Was not Coccobscoted by any one yet the Plaintist
Was Prosecuted, against the NEW York State Constitution
mandate that all Evidence most be Corroberate
DEFore Prosecution Can move Loward.

	For obstucting Justice and falsifying Evidence
	in a Criminal Proceeding NEW York City and or the
	State of NEW York Should be liable for at lease
	20,000,000 dollars. The Legal Aid Society Should also
	Should be liable for 10,000,000, for Lamont Miller
	illegaly Keeping the Plaintiff out of a Critical Stage
	of a Proceed that Could have Vindicated the Plaintiff
	Lamont Miller shall also be found liable for at
	lease two million dollar 2,000,000 in damager for helping
	Cause the Plaintiff's demise and Causing the Plaintiff
	to spend Six years in Prison being Stripped and marked. Turthermore, the 186 Panel Shall also be Sound
	liable for 10,000,000 ten million dollars for its Complicity
	in the obstaction of Justice Via Adam Freedman not
_	Put up a desense at all. Mr. Freedman was Samiliar
	With Everything and did nothing, NEVER questioned the
	With Everything and did nothing Never questioned the People about uncorroberated or nothing he was no
	more than an Essiay in the Courtroom. Adam
	Freedman Should also be liable in the amount of
	two million 2,000,000 for being Complicit with
	Obstructing Sustice.
	As For Sustices Sill Konvisor and Sustice
	Abrham Clott the damages has already been incorporated
	Abrham Clott the damages has already been incorporated in the damages against NEW York City and or NEW
	York State for their obstructing of Justice.
	Market and the second s

P.6.

Fourth, Pinkberry's Should also be found liable For its Employee Mark Lyons making false statements at Plaintiss tric Pinkberry's never called the Police or tested bill but allowed Eric Christensen to run up in their Store to Continue his can't of madness. However, Whatever he done when he can into their Store offended them because Know one from Pinkberry's Ever Entered the grand Sury So Evidently they didnt like what happened; Furthermore, Pinkberry's Employee Mark You oddly Shows up at Plaintiff trial, for What the Plaintiff can not understand because the Plaintiff Wasint indicted for them so why would he be at the Plaitiff's trial These Palse Statement's Contributed to the Plaintiff's demise These Salse Statements may have Consused the Sury's mind and may have led to the Plaintiss's Conviction. This harmed the Plaintiss's by it's Contribution to Plaintiff Conviction and Causing the Plaintiff to Serve Six years in Prison For this Pinkberry's Should be found liable for 10,000,000 and Mark Lyons Should be found liable for 1,000,000.

P. 7.

Fisth VEW York State Pailed in lits obligation to maintain the safety of one of it maximum facility's namely Greenhaven Correctional facility. Greenhaven C.S. has all of the safety Components recassary to run a safe Socility but do to the officers neglagence and willingness to oferate the equipment for the Safety of the facility, Caused the Plaintiff to receive an Scare across his face do to this negligence. This neglect by NEW York State has led to Plaintiff being Cut and mauled in November, 2022 the Sacility Camera's Will demonstrate Everything For this negligence the Plaitiff finds that NEW York State Should be liable for at the Isast \$5,000,000 Five million in damages.

V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to

proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an/IFP application. Middle Initial 1011@911ail Email Address (if available) Telephone Number

I have read the Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Consent to Receive Documents Electronically: \square Yes □ No

If you do consent to receive documents electronically, submit the completed form with your complaint. If you do not consent, please do not attach the form.



Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Consent to Receive Documents Electronically

Parties who are not represented by an attorney and are not currently incarcerated may choose to receive documents in their cases electronically (by e-mail) instead of by regular mail. Receiving documents by regular mail is still an option, but if you would rather receive them only electronically, you must do the following:

- 1. Sign up for a PACER login and password by contacting PACER¹ at www.pacer.uscourts.gov or 1-800-676-6856;
- 2. Complete and sign this form.

If you consent to receive documents electronically, you will receive a Notice of Electronic Filing by e-mail each time a document is filed in your case. After receiving the notice, you are permitted one "free look" at the document by clicking on the hyperlinked document number in the e-mail.2 Once you click the hyperlink and access the document, you may not be able to access the document for free again. After 15 days, the hyperlink will no longer provide free access. Any time that the hyperlink is accessed after the first "free look" or the 15 days, you will be asked for a PACER login and may be charged to view the document. For this reason, you should print or save the document during the "free look" to avoid future charges.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Civil Rule 5.2, and the Court's Electronic Case Filing Rules & Instructions, documents may be served by electronic means. If you register for electronic service:

- 1. You will no longer receive documents in the mail;
- 2. If you do not view and download your documents during your "free look" and within 15 days of when the court sends the e-mail notice, you will be charged for looking at the documents;
- 3. This service does *not* allow you to electronically file your documents;
- 4. It will be your duty to regularly review the docket sheet of the case.³

¹ Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) (www.pacer.uscourts.gov) is an electronic public access service that allows users to obtain case and docket information from federal appellate, district, and bankruptcy courts, and the PACER Case Locator over the internet.

² You must review the Court's actual order, decree, or judgment and not rely on the description in the email notice alone. See ECF Rule 4.3

³ The docket sheet is the official record of all filings in a case. You can view the docket sheet, including images of electronically filed documents, using PACER or you can use one of the public access computers available in the Clerk's Office at the Court.

CONSENT TO ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby consent to receive electronic service of notices and documents in my case(s) listed below. I affirm that:

- 1. I have regular access to my e-mail account and to the internet and will check regularly for Notices of Electronic Filing;
- 2. I have established a PACER account;
- 3. I understand that electronic service is service under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 5.2 of the Local Civil Rules, and that I will no longer receive paper copies of case filings, including motions, decisions, orders, and other documents;
- 4. I will promptly notify the Court if there is any change in my personal data, such as name, address, or e-mail address, or if I wish to cancel this consent to electronic service;
- 5. I understand that I must regularly review the docket sheet of my case so that I do not miss a filing; and
- 6. I understand that this consent applies only to the cases listed below and that if I file additional cases in which I would like to receive electronic service of notices of documents, I must file consent forms for those cases.

Civil case(s) filed in the Southern District of New York:

500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Note: This consent will apply to all cases that you have filed in this court, so please list all of			
			ase name and docket number
(for example	e, John Doe v. New City,	10-CV - 01234).	
			
Name (Last, First, M	(1)		
Address	City	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number		E-mail Address	
	·		
Date		Signature	
Return completed	form to:		
Pro Se Intake Unit (Room 200)		

To: Pro SE Intake 40 Foley Square New York N.Y. 10007

